

Private and Confidential

Dr 7
Dr Lawton & Partners (Sidley Surgery)
44 Turkey Road
Bexhill-on-Sea
East Sussex
TN39 5HE

**CFEP360
Patient and Colleague Feedback
Report**

Dr 7





Dr 7
Dr Lawton & Partners (Sidley Surgery)
44 Turkey Road
Bexhill-on-Sea
East Sussex
TN39 5HE

1 Northleigh House
Thorverton Road
Matford Business Park
Exeter
EX2 8HF

t: 0845 5197493
f: 01392 824767

e: enquiries@cfepsurveys.co.uk
w: www.cfepsurveys.co.uk

3 September 2013

Dear Dr

Please find enclosed your report outlining your feedback from the CFEP patient and colleague multisource feedback survey, using the Interpersonal Skills Questionnaire (ISQ) and the Colleague Feedback Evaluation Tool (CFET). The results have been illustrated in tables with associated benchmarks where applicable. Please see the important notes regarding how the benchmarks were generated. Your self assessment data, if completed, has been included for reference. Supporting documents have been provided to help you in the interpretation and understanding of your results.

Your survey resulted in the return of 33 patient (ISQ) questionnaires and 16 colleague (CFET) questionnaires. Please note that in order to generate a full report with statistically reliable and meaningful results, and associated benchmarks, a minimum of 25 returned patient questionnaires and 12 returned colleague questionnaires is required. If less than this number was returned then you will receive an abbreviated report for that element. In the eventuality that 5 or less patient or colleague questionnaires are returned no report will be issued for that survey component.

The format of this highly comprehensive report has been updated, which we hope will provide you with a clearer picture of performance. It is worth spending time to assimilate the detail to obtain the best understanding of your feedback.

At the outset of the survey process you nominated Dr N Newell to be your supporting medical colleague (SMC) should you wish to discuss the findings of your report. Dr N Newell has been informed that your report has been sent to you, and as you requested has been sent a copy.

In order to enable us to improve our services we would be grateful if you could complete a feedback form using the following link: <http://www.cfepsurveys.co.uk/questionnaires/feedback/default.aspx?psid=155155>

Please contact the office on 0845 5197493 or reports@cfepsurveys.co.uk if you require further information about your results.

I hope the report provides you with a basis for reflection and useful feedback for future appraisal.

Yours sincerely


A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Helen Powell'.

Helen Powell
Survey and Development Manager

CFEP360 Report: Graphical overview of results

The two graphs below provide a graphical summary of the quantitative data in the patient, colleague and self assessment sections of your report. They illustrate your achieved patient and colleague feedback scores for each question within the questionnaires, together with your self assessment score (if completed). These overlay the range of scores incorporated in the benchmark data (please see important notes about the benchmark data on pages P2 and C2 of your report). This chart should enable you to be able to visually compare how others perceive you as a doctor with your own personal assessment, and also provide you with a sense of how you are performing in relation to other doctors who have completed the surveys.


Patient feedback



9769


Benchmarks are based on data from 1,373 surveys completed by GPs between November 2003 and May 2013 with 28 or more returned questionnaires.

Colleague feedback



9745

Benchmarks are based on data from 2,883 surveys completed by GPs between June 2005 and May 2013 with 12 or more returned questionnaires.



If achieved or self assessment score for any question is not illustrated please refer to relevant scoring tables in your report for clarification.

Your patient feedback

August 2013*

*Date patient questionnaires were received by CFEP.

Your patient feedback


Table 1.1: Distribution and frequency of ratings and your self assessment rating

	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Blank / Spoilt
Q1 Satisfaction with visit	0	0	0	4	29	0
Q2 Warmth of greeting	0	0	0	5	28	0
Q3 Ability to listen	0	0	0	3	30	0
Q4 Explanations	0	0	0	4	29	0
Q5 Reassurance	0	0	1	3	29	0
Q6 Confidence in ability	0	0	0	3	30	0
Q7 Express concerns	0	0	0	5	28	0
Q8 Respect shown	0	0	0	4	29	0
Q9 Time for visit	0	0	1	7	24	1
Q10 Consideration	0	0	0	5	28	0
Q11 Concern for patient	0	0	0	5	28	0
Q12 Take care of myself	0	0	1	4	28	0
Q13 Recommendation	0	0	0	3	30	0

Blank/spoilt responses are not included in your mean percentage score analysis.

Your self assessment rating

Graph 1.1: Percentage distribution and frequency of ratings



Please note blank/spoilt responses have not been incorporated in this graphical representation.

Your patient feedback

Table 1.2: Your mean percentage scores and benchmarks

Practitioner specific benchmarks: GP

	Your mean score (%)	Benchmark data (%)*				
		Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
Q1 Satisfaction with visit	97	54	81	86	90	99
Q2 Warmth of greeting	96	44	82	88	92	99
Q3 Ability to listen	98	52	84	89	92	100
Q4 Explanations	97	52	82	87	90	99
Q5 Reassurance	96	48	80	85	89	99
Q6 Confidence in ability	98	48	83	88	92	99
Q7 Express concerns	96	43	82	86	90	99
Q8 Respect shown	97	45	86	90	93	100
Q9 Time for visit	93	49	80	85	88	98
Q10 Consideration	96	49	82	87	91	99
Q11 Concern for patient	96	52	82	87	91	99
Q12 Take care of myself	95	46	81	86	89	100
Q13 Recommendation	98	46	84	89	93	100

*Benchmarks are based on data from 1,373 surveys completed by GPs between November 2003 and May 2013 with 28 or more returned questionnaires. Please note the reliability of your patient feedback will be reduced if less than 25 patient responses per question is achieved. In the event that there are less than 5 patient responses for any question, this score will not be illustrated. See score explanation for percentage score calculation and quartile information.

Median or 'middle' value: the numerical value cutting the data in half – above and below this value lie the highest and lowest 50% of the mean percentage score values of all benchmarked doctors respectfully.

9769

Important notes about this benchmark data

- Benchmarks are provided in the report to give you a sense of how you are performing in relation to other doctors who have completed these surveys. They are not intended to imply any 'minimum standard' that doctors are expected to achieve.
- The benchmark data relate to doctors working in a variety of clinical settings and may not be totally representative of your personal situation.

Your patient feedback

Table 1.3: Your mean percentage scores and benchmarks

Specialty specific benchmarks: GP Partner

	Your mean score (%)	Benchmark data (%)*				
		Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
Q1 Satisfaction with visit	97	55	82	88	91	98
Q2 Warmth of greeting	96	44	84	89	92	99
Q3 Ability to listen	98	52	85	90	93	100
Q4 Explanations	97	52	83	88	91	99
Q5 Reassurance	96	52	81	87	90	98
Q6 Confidence in ability	98	55	85	90	93	98
Q7 Express concerns	96	43	83	88	91	98
Q8 Respect shown	97	45	87	91	94	100
Q9 Time for visit	93	49	80	85	89	98
Q10 Consideration	96	49	83	88	92	99
Q11 Concern for patient	96	52	83	88	92	99
Q12 Take care of myself	95	46	82	86	90	100
Q13 Recommendation	98	55	85	90	94	100

*Benchmarks are based on data from 715 surveys completed by GP Partners between January 2004 and May 2013 with 28 or more returned questionnaires.

Please note the reliability of your patient feedback will be reduced if less than 25 patient responses per question is achieved. In the event that there are less than 5 patient responses for any question, this score will not be illustrated. See score explanation for percentage score calculation and quartile information.

Median or 'middle' value: the numerical value cutting the data in half – above and below this value lie the highest and lowest 50% of the mean percentage score values of all benchmarked doctors respectfully.

9771

Important notes about this benchmark data

- Benchmarks are provided in the report to give you a sense of how you are performing in relation to other doctors who have completed these surveys. They are not intended to imply any 'minimum standard' that doctors are expected to achieve.
- The benchmark data relate to doctors working in a variety of clinical settings and may not be totally representative of your personal situation.

Your patient demographics

Table 1.4: Your patient demographics and associated mean percentage scores


	Number of responses	Your mean score (%)	Benchmark data (%)*				
			Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
Age							
Under 25	1	--	-	-	-	-	-
25 - 59	5	93	52	82	87	92	100
60+	27	97	37	84	89	92	100
Gender							
Female	17	98	46	82	87	91	99
Male	15	94	46	82	87	91	100
Blank	1	--	-	-	-	-	-
Usual General Practitioner							
Yes	33	96	30	85	89	93	100

*Benchmarks are based on data from 1,373 surveys completed by GPs between November 2003 and May 2013 with 28 or more returned questionnaires. Please note the reliability of your patient feedback will be reduced if less than 25 patient responses per category is achieved. In the event that there are less than 5 patient responses in any category, this score will not be illustrated.

See score explanation for percentage score calculation and quartile information.

-- score not provided

- benchmark data not available

 Median or 'middle' value: the numerical value cutting the data in half – above and below this value lie the highest and lowest 50% of the mean percentage score values of all benchmarked doctors respectfully.

9769

Your patient comments

From the free text component of the questionnaire. All comments have been included in their entirety but all attempts have been made to remove details which could identify specific patients and/or other practitioners.

The doctor would appreciate any suggestions as to how he/she could improve:

- Apart from cloning himself there is no need for improvement. Dr 7 is the epitome of what a GP should be. I am sure all his patients feel the same which is why it can be tricky trying to get an appointment to see him.
- Nothing! Dr 7 is the best doctor I have ever had. He listens and treats you as a patient but also reflects on things as a family/life way not just medical. He is the only doctor my son feels comfortable with and happy for him to look at him. I have full 100% trust in Dr 7 and disappointed when I can't get an appointment with him (not his fault).
- No need to improve. Best doctor I've ever had Dr 7.
- We could not wish for better.
- Generally very satisfied.
- No improvement required.
- No improvement needed by doctor at all.
- Wish he was my doctor (wife of patient). No suggestions for improvement, none needed.
- Can think of nothing that would or could improve my doctor's care.
- Dr 7 is excellent in every respect. The only problem I find is getting an appointment quickly.
- Dr 7 gets full marks from me. I trust him implicitly and thank god he is my doctor.
- I feel very fortunate to have Dr 7.
- I like him as he is.
- Could not wish for better.
- During the 10 years I have been a patient of Dr 7, I have experienced only excellence in every consultation with him. Every concern of mine is dealt with most professionally and in a pleasant and friendly manner. In my view, we need more doctor of his calibre.
- He listen to me doesn't look at his laptop. While talking every faith in him wouldn't want anyone else.
- Satisfied in every way. Thank you.
- The doctor could not be improved upon, he is kind considerate in fact excellent and I am very lucky to have him.
- Dr 7 could not improve, he's very nice, kind understanding man, and great doctor.
- Dr 7 is brilliant - please don't leave the practice!
- This doctor makes me feel better before he even knows of my complaints, I trust his decisions implicitly I just wish we had more like him in the NHS.
- I can't offer any suggestions on how Dr 7 could improve I've made him sound like a saint but he really is the most caring doctor I have ever had.

Your colleague feedback

July 2013*

*Date last colleague response received by CFEP.

Your colleague feedback

Table 2.1: Distribution and frequency of ratings and your self assessment rating

	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Unable to comment	Blank / Spoilt
Q1 Clinical knowledge	0	0	0	3	13	0	0
Q2 Clinical ability	0	0	0	3	11	2	0
Q3 Communication with patients	0	0	0	4	12	0	0
Q4 Compassion/empathy	0	0	0	5	11	0	0
Q5 Colleague communication	0	0	0	5	11	0	0
Q6 Teaching and training colleagues	0	0	1	6	8	1	0
Q7 Punctuality and reliability	0	0	0	5	11	0	0
Q8 Respect for colleagues	0	0	0	3	13	0	0
Q9 Ability to say "no"	0	0	6	5	5	0	0
Q10 Awareness of limitations	0	0	1	7	8	0	0
Q11 Team orientation	0	0	0	7	9	0	0
Q12 Use of resources	0	0	1	5	9	1	0
Q13 Ability to manage stress	0	0	6	5	4	1	0
Q14 Confidentiality	0	0	0	3	13	0	0
Q15 Appearance and behaviour	0	0	0	5	10	1	0
Q16 Respect to their own health	0	0	3	7	6	0	0
Q17 Trustworthiness/honesty/probity	0	0	0	3	13	0	0
Q18 Management/leadership skills	0	0	2	7	7	0	0
Q19 Overall ability	0	0	0	6	10	0	0

Blank/spoilt and unable to comment responses are not included in your mean percentage score analysis.

Your self assessment rating

Graph 2.1: Percentage distribution and frequency of ratings



Please note blank/spoilt and unable to comment responses have not been incorporated in this graphical representation.

Your colleague feedback

Table 2.2: Your mean percentage scores and benchmarks

Practitioner specific benchmarks: GP

	Your mean score (%)	Benchmark data (%)*				
		Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
Q1 Clinical knowledge	95	25	84	90	94	100
Q2 Clinical ability	95	37	84	90	94	100
Q3 Communication with patients	94	38	80	88	93	100
Q4 Compassion/empathy	92	43	79	87	93	100
Q5 Colleague communication	92	37	77	84	90	100
Q6 Teaching and training colleagues	87	25	77	84	90	100
Q7 Punctuality and reliability	92	15	79	88	94	100
Q8 Respect for colleagues	95	33	79	87	92	100
Q9 Ability to say "no"	73	27	71	77	83	100
Q10 Awareness of limitations	86	40	79	84	88	100
Q11 Team orientation	89	33	73	81	88	100
Q12 Use of resources	88	43	79	85	89	100
Q13 Ability to manage stress	72	10	71	79	85	100
Q14 Confidentiality	95	43	88	92	95	100
Q15 Appearance and behaviour	92	44	86	92	95	100
Q16 Respect to their own health	80	29	80	86	91	100
Q17 Trustworthiness/honesty/probity	95	48	89	93	96	100
Q18 Management/leadership skills	83	28	75	82	88	100
Q19 Overall ability	91	43	85	90	95	100

*Benchmarks are based on data from 2,883 surveys completed by GPs between June 2005 and May 2013 with 12 or more returned questionnaires. Please note the reliability of your colleague feedback will be reduced when less than 12 colleague responses per question is achieved. In the event that there are less than 5 colleague responses for any question, this score will not be illustrated. See score explanation for percentage score calculation and quartile information.

Median or 'middle' value: the numerical value cutting the data in half – above and below this value lie the highest and lowest 50% of the mean percentage score values of all benchmarked doctors respectfully.

9745

Important notes about this benchmark data

- Benchmarks are provided in the report to give you a sense of how you are performing in relation to other doctors who have completed these surveys. They are not intended to imply any 'minimum standard' that doctors are expected to achieve.
- The benchmark data relate to doctors working in a variety of clinical settings and may not be totally representative of your personal situation.

Your colleague feedback

Table 2.3: Your mean percentage scores and benchmarks

Specialty specific benchmarks: GP Partner

	Your mean score (%)	Benchmark data (%)*				
		Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
Q1 Clinical knowledge	95	47	86	91	95	100
Q2 Clinical ability	95	46	86	91	95	100
Q3 Communication with patients	94	38	80	88	93	100
Q4 Compassion/empathy	92	44	79	87	93	100
Q5 Colleague communication	92	40	77	84	90	100
Q6 Teaching and training colleagues	87	25	77	85	91	100
Q7 Punctuality and reliability	92	15	80	89	94	100
Q8 Respect for colleagues	95	33	79	87	92	100
Q9 Ability to say "no"	73	33	71	77	83	100
Q10 Awareness of limitations	86	40	80	84	89	100
Q11 Team orientation	89	33	75	82	88	100
Q12 Use of resources	88	45	81	86	90	100
Q13 Ability to manage stress	72	10	71	80	86	100
Q14 Confidentiality	95	43	88	93	96	100
Q15 Appearance and behaviour	92	44	86	92	96	100
Q16 Respect to their own health	80	29	81	87	91	100
Q17 Trustworthiness/honesty/probity	95	48	90	94	96	100
Q18 Management/leadership skills	83	35	75	83	90	100
Q19 Overall ability	91	43	87	92	95	100

*Benchmarks are based on data from 1,774 surveys completed by GP Partners between October 2005 and May 2013 with 12 or more returned questionnaires.

Please note the reliability of your colleague feedback will be reduced when less than 12 colleague responses per question is achieved. In the event that there are less than 5 colleague responses for any question, this score will not be illustrated. See score explanation for percentage score calculation and quartile information.

Median or 'middle' value: the numerical value cutting the data in half – above and below this value lie the highest and lowest 50% of the mean percentage score values of all benchmarked doctors respectfully.

9746

Important notes about this benchmark data

- Benchmarks are provided in the report to give you a sense of how you are performing in relation to other doctors who have completed these surveys. They are not intended to imply any 'minimum standard' that doctors are expected to achieve.
- The benchmark data relate to doctors working in a variety of clinical settings and may not be totally representative of your personal situation.

Your colleague demographics

Table 2.4: Your colleague demographics and associated mean percentage scores


Colleague type	Number of responses	Your mean score (%)	Benchmark data (%)*				
			Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
Doctor	8	84	45	79	84	89	99
Other	8	93	37	82	87	91	100

*Benchmarks are based on data from 2,883 surveys completed by GPs between June 2005 and May 2013 with 12 or more returned questionnaires.
 Please note the reliability of your colleague feedback will be reduced when less than 12 colleague responses per category is achieved. In the event that there are less than 3 colleague responses in either colleague category, scores will not be illustrated. See score explanation for percentage score calculation and quartile information.

9745

Median or 'middle' value: the numerical value cutting the data in half – above and below this value lie the highest and lowest 50% of the mean percentage score values of all benchmarked doctors respectively.

Graph 2.2 Mean percentage scores for each question by colleague type



Please note the reliability of your colleague feedback will be reduced when less than 12 colleague responses per category and/or question is achieved. In the event that there are less than 3 responses from either colleague type for a given question, the corresponding bars for that question in the chart above will not be displayed.

Your colleague comments

From the free text component of the questionnaire.

All comments are included in their entirety.

Other strengths of this doctor?

- Always willing to help.
- A key person for the maintenance of morale within the practice.
- Very caring and always makes time for patients and colleagues. Excellent mentor.
- Patient centricity. This doctor consistently goes the extra mile in caring for his patients.
- He is a very good team player and easy to get along with.
- A first class doctor and colleague.

How could this doctor become more effective?

- Has good training potential, should look to do more training for trainees, good teacher. Needs to find ways to de-stress and say 'no' more often.

Self assessment

May 2013

Comparison of self assessed scores with patient scores

Table 3.1: Comparison of self assessment and patient scores

Patient question	Your assessment (as on original questionnaire)	Your assessment (equivalent percentage score)*	Patient assessment (overall mean percentage score)*
Q1 Satisfaction with this visit	Very Good	75	97
Q2 Warmth of greeting	Very Good	75	96
Q3 Ability to listen	Very Good	75	98
Q4 Explanations	Very Good	75	97
Q5 Reassurance	Very Good	75	96
Q6 Confidence in ability	Very Good	75	98
Q7 Express concerns	Very Good	75	96
Q8 Respect shown	Very Good	75	97
Q9 Time for visit	Good	50	93
Q10 Consideration	Very Good	75	96
Q11 Concern for patient	Very Good	75	96
Q12 Take care of myself	Very Good	75	95
Q13 Recommendation	Very Good	75	98

*See score explanation for percentage score calculation

Comparison of self assessed scores with colleague scores

Table 3.2: Comparison of self assessment and colleague scores

Colleague question	Your assessment (as on original questionnaire)	Your assessment (equivalent percentage score)*	Colleague assessment (overall mean percentage score)*
Q1 Clinical knowledge	Very Good	75	95
Q2 Clinical ability	Very Good	75	95
Q3 Communication with patients	Excellent	100	94
Q4 Compassion/empathy	Excellent	100	92
Q5 Colleague communication	Very Good	75	92
Q6 Teaching and training colleagues	Very Good	75	87
Q7 Punctuality and reliability	Very Good	75	92
Q8 Respect for colleagues	Very Good	75	95
Q9 Ability to say "no"	Good	50	73
Q10 Awareness of limitations	Very Good	75	86
Q11 Team orientation	Very Good	75	89
Q12 Use of resources	Very Good	75	88
Q13 Ability to manage stress	Good	50	72
Q14 Confidentiality	Very Good	75	95
Q15 Appearance and behaviour	Very Good	75	92
Q16 Respect to their own health	Very Good	75	80
Q17 Trustworthiness/honesty/probity	Very Good	75	95
Q18 Management/leadership skills	Very Good	75	83
Q19 Overall ability	Very Good	75	91

*See score explanation for percentage score calculation

– no self assessment score provided

Your personal comments

Your other strengths?

- Palliative care.
Cardiology.

How could you become more effective?

- Being stronger with demanding patients.
Putting practice needs above that of patients on appropriate occasions.